

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/086,795			Jonathan Foote	FXPL-1033US0 MCF/SRB	8973		
23910	7590	05/27/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
FLIESLER MEYER, LLP			*	SMITH, ARTHUR A			
FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER SUITE 400		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER			
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111				2851	2851		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	10/086,795	FOOTE ET AL.						
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Arthur A Smith	2851						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c rrespond nce address								
THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a tion in					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection.  R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or					
<ul> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF</li> <li>2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be</li> </ul>	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		.·					
		osa NOTE balawy						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	· ·	see NOTE below),						
<ul><li>(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b</li><li>(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in</li></ul>	·	rially raduaing or sir	nalifying the					
issues for appeal; and/or	i better form for appear by mate	rially reducing or sil	inplinying the					
(d) they present additional claims without cancelling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		•					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment					
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	Γ place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			ind an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:								
•								

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner does not find the applicant's arguments persuasive in overcoming the previous rejection based upon the Alexander reference.

RUSSELL ADAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

15 6 7 4